

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>B65D 81/28, 65/42, 25/02, 25/14, 5/56,</b> <b>B65B 25/04, A23L 3/3418</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/03930</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date: 27 January 2000 (27.01.00)</b>
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU99/00564 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 13 July 1999 (13.07.99)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> PP 4637 14 July 1998 (14.07.98) AU  <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> FOOD & PACKAGING CENTRE MANAGEMENT LIMITED [AU/AU]; John Street, Hawthorn, VIC 3122 (AU).  <b>(72) Inventor; and</b> <b>(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only):</b> CORRIGAN, Penny [AU/AU]; Normanby Road, Clayton, VIC 3169 (AU).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> MISCHLEWSKI, Darryl; P.O. Box 1254, Camberwell, VIC 3124 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> BIOCIDAL PACKAGING SYSTEM  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  A liner for a table grape box consists of a polymer blend of low density polyethylene and a copolymer of ethylene and vinyl alcohol. The film incorporates sodium metabisulfite. In response to the moisture present in the box sulfur dioxide is released at a constant rate without the usual bleaching and health problems associated with excess generation of sulfur dioxide. The film can be co-extruded with a layer of polyethylene.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## Biocidal Packaging System

This invention relates to improvements in retarding unwanted biological growth in food packaging particularly in retarding fungal or mould growth in packaged fruit  
5 especially table grapes.

### Background to the invention

Where table grapes are stored for prolonged periods or where they are transported  
10 over long distances, the continued or repeated application of a fungicide to slow the growth of Botrytis mould is required.

Australian patent 565243 disclosed incorporating a fungicide in a packaging film of polyethylene and heating the film to release the fungicide. Australian patent 646013 discloses a wrapping film for use in retarding fungal decay of citrus fruits  
15 which incorporates a fungicide in a first resin layer of a high melt temperature [170-240°C] and then blending it with a second resin of lower melt temperature. This ensures that the fungicide is released slowly.

In Australia the current method of control is to package table grapes in a waxed corrugated cardboard box having an inner plastic liner bag. A sulphur dioxide  
20 releasing pad or sachet is placed inside the package. Such a system is disclosed in USA patent 3559562. Although this has given satisfactory results there are a number of disadvantages. The rate of release of sulfur dioxide is not well controlled especially if there are temperature fluctuations during storage. High levels of Sulfur dioxide cause bleaching of the grapes with the consequence that  
25 their sale price is lowered. Further, high residue levels of sulfite in the grapes can cause health problems for a proportion of the population. Another difficulty with the present method is the localized release of sulfur dioxide within the package resulting in considerable variation of sulfur dioxide concentration.

It is an object of this invention to provide a means of releasing sulfur dioxide  
30 at a constant or zero order rate so that a minimally effective dosage rate can be achieved to reduce the disadvantages of using sulfur dioxide.

**Brief description of the invention**

To this end the present invention provides a block, pad or film of a polymer blend which contains at least one polymer having a substantially different water transmission rate to at least one other polymer in the blend and there being  
5 dispersed through the polymer blend an antifungal agent activated by the presence of moisture.

This invention is predicated on the discovery that the release rate of water activated fungicides such as sodium metabisulfite can be controlled by controlling the proportions of polymers having high and low water transmission rates. This  
10 invention is predicated on the realisation that there are two polymer properties which affect the release rate of sulfur dioxide, the rate at which water particularly water vapour penetrates the polymer to contact the bisulfite and the rate of transmission of the sulfur dioxide through the polymer. This discovery means that slow release preparations can now be provided that have a lower release of sulfur  
15 dioxide without being below the concentration which inhibits fungal growth. The release rate can also be adjusted to suit the rate required by different fruit varieties. The property of the polymers most useful in determining the blend components is the water vapour transmission rate [WVTR]

Where the water activated fungicide is sodium metabisulfite the polymer blend  
20 preferably is processable at temperatures below 150°C which is the decomposition temperature of the sodium metabisulfite. Potassium metabisulfite as the fungicide allows processing temperatures below 190 °C to be used but it has a slower release rate and a lower overall conversion per mole.

The polymer blend is preferably an olefine polymer with a polymer containing  
25 hydrophilic groups. The ratio of hydrophobic to hydrophilic polymer can be determined by simple trials. Preferably the polymer blend includes an ethylene/vinyl alcohol copolymer [EVA] as the polymer with high release rate and a linear low density polyethylene[LLDPE] as the polymer with a low water release rate. A blend of these two polymers EVA / LLDPE within the range of 30:70 to  
30 80:20 is usually effective. Preferred blends contain equal amounts of EVA and LLDPE or 70% EVA and 30% LLDPE .

The fungicide can be any active fungicide that allows the active agent to migrate through the polymer in a sustained manner. Water activated fungicides are preferred for the polymer blend of this invention. Sulfur dioxide generating agents are preferred and in particular bisulfite compounds the most preferred being sodium metabisulfite. The metabisulfite is in finely divided form, but there is no criticality to the particle size of the compound. The amount of the metabisulfite in the polymer blend must be sufficient to maintain a sustained release of sulfur dioxide over a period of up to 8 weeks. Usually a content of 10% to 30% by weight has been found to be adequate.

10 The metabisulfite can be mixed with one of the polymer components and then blended with the second polymer. This is not critical and the lower processing temperature is the key to selecting the steps in blending. With EVA / LDPE blends it is preferred to form a master batch of metabisulfite with EVA and then blend this with LDPE in the desired ratio to form the film.

15 The film of this invention can be used as a single film or as one layer in a laminate or coextruded multilayer film. The reason for doing this can be to improve the strength of the film, its printability or to modify the water transmission rates of the film. It is preferred to coextrude the EVA/LDPE blend containing the metabisulfite with LDPE. The LDPE layer provides a better printing surface and becomes the outside layer and decreases the penetration of water vapour from outside of the box.

In another aspect of this invention there is provided a package for transporting and storing fruit preferably table grapes which includes

1. a container ;
- 25 2. optionally a water absorbent material lining said container;
3. optionally, a plastic liner between the container walls and said absorbent material;
4. disposed adjacent the fruit a film pad or block of a polymer blend containing a moisture activated antifungal agent wherein the polymer includes at least one polymer having a water transmission rate substantially different to that of at least one other polymer of said blend.
- 30

It has been found that the presence of a water absorbent material such as corrugated paper wadding appears to stabilize the release rate of sulfur dioxide presumably by reducing the humidity levels in the container. Some wadding materials may also act as a sink for sulfur dioxide.

5

### **Detailed description of the invention**

#### **Example 1**

Polymer blends of 30% Low density polyethylene [LDPE] with 70 % of a  
10 copolymer of ethylene and vinyl alcohol[EVA] {liner 1}and 50% LDPE with 50%  
EVA,[liner 2] each containing 15% sodium metabisulfite, were formed into film and  
converted to liner bags for grape boxes.

These were then tested as box liners in a field trial using Thompson seedless  
grapes. Grapes picked the previous day and stored in the cool room were packed  
15 in boxes with conventional high density polyethylene liners [HDPE] and the liners  
of this invention. All boxes were returned open to the coolroom and left till the  
grapes reached <2°C. Bags were then closed and the lids added with addition of  
SO<sub>2</sub> pads to the conventionally lined boxes and corrugated wadding as required.  
The 54 boxes were loaded onto a pallet and trucked overnight. On arrival they  
20 were split into sub-trials and subjected to two different storage regimes  
1. a temperature profile simulating air freight from Melbourne to an Asian  
destination  
2. a temperature profile simulating sea freight from Melbourne to an Asian  
destination

#### **25 Air freight simulation**

The treatments in this subtrial were

- AA PE liner with SO<sub>2</sub> pad [Oskuvid] plus corrugated wadding
  - AB PE liner with corrugated wadding
  - AC liner 1 with corrugated wadding
  - 30 AD liner 2 with corrugated wadding
- Each treatment had six replicates [24 boxes in all]

On arriving from the overnight trucking the boxes were placed in a holding bay and left at ambient temperatures for two days. The temperature in the boxes reached about 17 °C. the boxes were then placed in a coolroom at 2-3 °C for 10days.

- SO<sub>2</sub> levels in half the boxes were measured several times during storage including when at the high temperature [see figure 1]. The higher temperatures increased the SO<sub>2</sub> release rates from liners 1 and 2 and more so from 1 [AC] than 2 [AD]. The release rates dropped rapidly with temperature when returned to the cool room. In contrast the SO<sub>2</sub> pad AA showed a marked increase in SO<sub>2</sub> levels after return to the cool room due to condensation inside the pads [see figure 1].
- At the end of the storage period the grapes were removed, weighed and three bunches from each box taken for assessment for rot and stem condition. These bunches were from the top centre, top corner and bottom corner positions in the box. After a further three days storage at 21 °C these bunches were again assessed for rot bleach and stem condition.

#### Ex-store assessment

**Table 1** Quality assessment parameters on removal from cold storage

treatment	% rot	Stem condition	Average weight of grapes [kg]
AA	0	1.4	10.12
AB	0	2.6	10.11
AC	0	1.6	10.06
AD	0	1.7	9.88

The weights measured indicate that liner 2 may not be a sufficient water barrier although the stem condition is not significantly different from the other treatments.

20

#### Ex-marketing assessment

**Table 2** Quality assessment after 3days at 20 °C

Treatment	% bleach [berries]	% rot	Stem condition	SO <sub>2</sub> exposure [ppm.days]
AA	5.9	0	2.1	218
AB	0	2.8	4.3	0

AC	9.3	0.1	3.8	136
AD	10,0	0.1	4.4	23
LSD [p<0.05]	5.0		0.8	

Bleach in the bunches taken from different positions in the box were also analysed.

5 **Table 3** Bleach levels at different box positions

Position	AA	AC	AD
Top centre	8.8	9.9	9.4
Top corner	4.8	8.5	9.8
Bottom corner	4.0	9.5	10.8

LSD [p<0.05] = 6.1

All the SO<sub>2</sub> treatments were successful in preventing the growth of rots, however despite the measured differences in SO<sub>2</sub> levels delivered in the different treatments, there was no statistical difference in the total amount of of bleach observed. Liners 1 and 2 both delivered SO<sub>2</sub> in a more even manner throughout the box as no positional differences were observed. In contrast the oskuvid pad [AA] caused greater bleaching in the top centre than in the bottom bunch. Previous trials have shown that pads show a distinct gradient with high levels at the top near the pad and low levels at the bottom of the box.

15

**Sea Freight Temperature simulation**

Treatments in this sub trial were

- SA PE liner with SO<sub>2</sub> pad [Oskuvid] plus corrugated wadding
- SB PE liner with corrugated wadding
- 20 SC liner1 with corrugated wadding
- SD liner 2 with corrugated wadding
- SE liner 1 with no added wadding

On arriving in Melbourne after the overnight trucking these boxes were placed in a coolroom at 5 °C for two days, then moved to a coolroom at 2-3 °C for 4 weeks.



SO<sub>2</sub> levels in half the boxes were measured several times during storage. These are shown in figure 2.

From the graph comparing SC with SE it is clear that the wadding has a large effect on SO<sub>2</sub> levels in the packaging. This may be due to absorption of sulfur dioxide by the wadding and/or to the wadding modifying humidity levels.

At the end of the storage period grapes were removed and four bunches were taken from four different positions in each box for assessment of rot and stem condition. After a further 3 days storage at 21 °C, these bunches were again assessed for rot, bleach and stem condition. A more detailed bleach assessment was also undertaken to assess severity of bleach as well as the % of bleached grapes [by weight]. For each bunch the bleached grapes were separated into three groups representing severity of bleach:

1. < 10% of grape surface area
2. < 25% and > 10% of grape surface area
3. > 25% of grape surface area

These were then weighed and calculated as a % of the bleached grapes.

#### Ex-store assessment

**Table4** Quality assessment parameters on removal from cold storage

treatment	% rot	Stem condition	Average weight of grapes [kg]
SA	0	1.25	10.05
SB	1.84	3	10.39
SC	0	1.33	10.00
SD	0.16	1.54	9.92
SE	0	1.71	9.97

Again liner 2 is showing greater water loss than the conventional treatments. All

the treatments with c successfully controlled the growth of Botrytis.

#### Ex-Marketing assessment

**Table 5** Quality assessment after 3days at 20 °C

Treatm nt	%bleach [berries]	Bleach [severity]	% rot	Stem condition	SO <sub>2</sub> exposure [ppm.days]
SA	22.7	0.398	0	2.3	351
SB	0	0	12.7	4.7	0
SC	16.5	0.188	0.2	3.5	67
SD	8.4	0.093	0.2	3.6	25
SE	39.6	0.539	0	3.5	236
LSD [p<0.05]	6.3	0.82		0.76	

The positional effects are shown in table 6

**Table 6** Bleach severity according to bunch position in box

	SA	SC	SD	SE
Top centre	0.54	0.20	0.07	0.46
Top corner	0.41	0.14	0.10	0.57
Middle	0.33	0.15	0.05	0.52
Bottom corner	0.31	0.26	0.16	0.61

LSD[p<.05] = 0.16 [for comparing across treatments]

5 = 0.17 [ for comparing within treatments]

The bottom bunches of liner 1 without wadding were the most severely bleached. Liner 2 was the most effective at controlling rot whilst minimising bleaching. It is surprising that bleaching was observed at such low SO<sub>2</sub> levels. Temperature may have a significant effect on susceptibility to bleaching since these grapes were not stored at the preferred 0 °C.

## Example 2

In this example bags composed of film containing the polymer blend of this invention were trialled.

- 15 The two bags made in accordance with the invention were formed by co-extruding a 20micron film of LDPE onto a 50 micron film of a 70/30 EVA/LDPE polymer matrix containing sodium metabisulfite.

Bag1 contained 12% sodium metabisulfite

Bag 2 contained 15% sodium metabisulfite

The active layer was on the inside and the LDPE layer on the outside of each bag to reduce water loss from the grapes.

- 5 Bags were prepared for 10 kg boxes of Thomson Seedless and Red Globe grapes and boxes were also prepared using a commercially available SO<sub>2</sub> releasing pad and control boxes with no SO<sub>2</sub> treatment.

The boxes were also seeded with five *Botrytis* infected berries so that the effectiveness of the SO<sub>2</sub> levels could be tested in relation to the inhibition of the spread of infection from these berries.

Two trials were carried in a simulated sea freight temperature profile as follows:

5 °C for 2 days [farm to ship]

2-3 °C for 4 weeks [ship]

20 °C for 3 days [marketing]

- 15 The first trial used Thompson Seedless and the second trial used Red Globe grapes.

#### **Thompson Seedless trial.**

When the baxes were opened the seeded berries were located and the number of adjacent infected berries were counted and the averages are shown in table 7

20 **Table7**

treatment	Average count
Bag 1	0.4
Bag 2	0.2
Commercial pad *	0.5
control	4.6

The bags of this invention were just as effective as the commercial pad in preventing spread of infection.

- 25 The % rot and stem condition were also evaluated using four bunches of grapes taken from strategic positions in the box. The results upon removal from cold storage [ship]are shown in table 8

**Table 8**

Treatment	% Rot	Stem Condition
Bag 1	0.08	1.88

Bag 2	0.15	1.83
Commercial pad	0.11	1.92
Control	5.89	2.38
LSD	2.02	

These quality parameters as well as bleaching damage were assessed again after a further 3 days storage at 20 °C [marketing] and the results are shown in table 9

5

10

15 **Table 9**

Treatment	Total rot	% Bleach	Bleach Severity	Stem Condition
Bag 1	2.3	16.6	0.171	4.4
Bag2	4.0	16.7	0.173	4.5
Commercial pad	0.5	30.7	0.423	3.7
Control	15.4	na		
LSD	4.53	6.31	0.086	

na= not assessed

The lower levels of SO<sub>2</sub> produced in the bags of this invention result in less bleaching and severity of bleaching compared to the commercial sachet which did give a slightly better performance in terms of % rot and stem condition.

20

Figure 3 charts the average SO<sub>2</sub> levels over the period of the trial. The levels for the commercial pad peaked at 33ppm during the first 6 days.

25

Sulphite residue tests were also carried out. A bunch was removed from near the top of the box and stored at room temperature for 2 days prior to analysis. Three measurements on each of the two inventive bags were made and also on the commercial pad. All measurements from the bags of the invention were 0 but the commercial pad resulted in a reading of 8.64ppm.

### Red Globe Trial

There was little spread of infection in any of the boxes.

Quality was assessed on removal from the cool store and are shown in table 10.

**Table 10**

Treatment	% Rot	Stem Condition
Bag 1	1.39	1.58
Bag 2	2.63	1.92
Commercial pad	0.52	1.75
Control	17.77	1.92
LSD	6.51	0.42

5

These quality parameters as well as bleaching damage were assessed again after a further 3 days storage at 20 °C [marketing] and the results are shown in table 11

**Table 11**

Treatment	Total rot	% Bleach	Bleach Severity	Stem Condition
Bag 1	13.25	19.9	0.23	4.25
Bag2	10.01	0		3.79
Commercial pad	0.65	34.6	0.58	2.42
Control	55.3	Na		4.88
LSD	10.3	18.4	0.355	0.61

10 na= not assessed

Sulphite residue tests were also carried out. A bunch was removed from near the top of the box and stored at room temperature for 2 days prior to analysis.

Readings of 12.4 and 8.1 mg/L sulfite were obtained from 2 of the boxes

15 containing commercial pads. All measurements from the bags of the invention were 0.

Figure 4 is a chart of the SO<sub>2</sub> levels over the period of the red globe trial. This clearly shows why the bags of the invention result in less damage to the grapes and yet achieve an even release of SO<sub>2</sub>.

20

From the above it can be seen that the present invention can minimise bleach damage without compromising the retardation of rot.

**CLAIMS**

1. A package for transporting and storing fruit preferably table grapes which includes
  - a) a container ;
  - 5 b) optionally a water absorbent material lining said container;
  - c) optionally, a plastic liner between the container walls and said absorbent material;
  - d) disposed adjacent the fruit, a film pad or block of a polymer blend containing a moisture activated antifungal agent wherein the polymer includes at least one  
10 polymer having a water transmission rate substantially different to that of at least one other polymer of said blend.
- 2) A block, pad or film of a polymer blend which contains at least one polymer having a substantially different water transmission rate to at least one other  
15 polymer in the blend and there being dispersed through the polymer blend an antifungal agent activated by the presence of moisture.
- 3) A block pad or film as claimed in claim 2 wherein the polymers in the blend are chosen on the basis of sulfur dioxide transmission rates which are above the  
20 concentration which inhibits fungal growth but below 5ppm.
- 4) A liner film for use in packaging table grapes said film including a major portion of an ethylene /vinyl alcohol copolymer, a minor portion of a low density polyethylene and an effective amount of an antifungal agent preferably from 10  
25 to 30% of sodium metabisulfite.
- 5) A packaging film as claimed in claim 4 which is one layer of a multi layer film.

30

FIG. 1








FIG. 2




3 / 4

FIG. 3



4 / 4

FIG. 4



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU 99/00564

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>																						
Int Cl <sup>6</sup> : B65D 81/28, 65/42, 25/02, 25/14, 5/56, B65B 25/04, A23L 3/3418																						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																						
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>																						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) B65D, B65B 25/02, 25/04, B32B 27/-, A23L 3/-, A23B 7/-																						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched																						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPAT (fung:, antifung:, mould:, mold:, bacter:, botrytis, moisture, water, H <sub>2</sub> O, metabisul:, inhibit:, preserv:, retard:, liner#, pad#, film#, lining#, liner#, fruit#, grape#)																						
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>																						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																				
X Y	EP 832916 A (KUREHA KAGAKU KOGYO KK) 1 April 1998 See whole doc. Particularly pp 3,4	1,2 3-5																				
Y	US 3559562 A (CARLSON et al) 2 February 1971 See whole doc	3-5																				
Y	FR 2467795 A (ILLOUZE) 30 April 1981 See whole doc.	3-5																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex																						
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A"</td> <td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T"</td> <td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E"</td> <td>earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L"</td> <td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O"</td> <td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&amp;"</td> <td>document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P"</td> <td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family	"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																			
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family																			
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 12 August 1999		Date of mailing of the international search report <b>20 AUG 1999</b>																				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200 WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA Facsimile No.: (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer  <b>STEPHEN CLARK</b> Telephone No.: (02) 6283 2164																				

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU 99/00564

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5639466 A (FORD et al) 17 June 1997 See whole doc.	1-5
A	Patent Abstract of Japan, M-1460, page 5, JP 05-92533 A (DENKI KAGAKU KOGYO KK) 16 April 1993	1-5

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**  
Information on patent family members

International application No.  
**PCT/AU 99/00564**

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
EP	832916	JP	10330573				
US	5639466	DE	19506395	JP	7258526	EP	810824
		WO	96/25855				
END OF ANNEX							